Dear [Name],

As announced, please find below an additional question from the colleagues in the ITP sector. Could you please forward it to your relevant colleagues?

Referring to the PeDRA business requirements document (non-functional requirements NFR1, NFR2 and NFR3 (p27 and 28), 5.1 Security requirements (p28 and p29) and Annex 1 Business requirements (p29):

Could you please explain how these non-functional requirements, security requirements and Annex 1 were devised?

Was an analysis of these needs performed and documented (for example by doing an information security risk assessment)?

If so, please provide the documents that describe this analysis. If not, please explain how these requirements came to be.

Please note that if you consider these document as sensitive from a security perspective, we can discuss modalities for transferring them securely.

As already noted in my mail of last Thursday, case 2015-0346 will remain suspended according to Article 27(4) of Regulation 45/2001 until the EDPS receives the answers to the requests for clarification, now also including the additional questions in this mail.

Please answer with the EDPS functional mailbox in cc (edps@edps.europa.eu), as the date of the reception of your answer to the EDPS mailbox will be the only date taken into account to lift the suspension of the deadline within which the EDPS must render its opinion. Please make a reference in the subject of your message to the case file number 2015-0346.

Best regards,

[Signature]
Dear [Redacted]

I would have a couple of questions for clarification regarding Frontex' prior-checking notification for PeDRA. Could you please check with your relevant colleagues?
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